1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 RICHARD A. MONTGOMERY, et al., 9 Plaintiffs, CASE NO. C05-1578-MJB 10 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' v. 11 MOTION FOR SUMMARY M/V PACIFIC PRODUCER, et al., JUDGMENT 12 Defendants. 13 Now before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment against 14 Defendants for crewmembers' wage claims. The Court having reviewed the motion and 15 finding that Plaintiffs have met their burden of demonstrating the absence of genuine 16 issues of material fact, Defendants having failed to file any opposition to the motion,¹ 17 and being fully advised on the premises, hereby ORDERS: 18 19 **(1)** Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. #20) is GRANTED. 20 21 22 ¹When a motion for summary judgment is made and supported as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, if the adverse party does not respond as provided in the rule, by affidavits or otherwise 23 setting forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial, summary judgment, if appropriate, shall be entered against the adverse party. See Rule 56(e). 24 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S 25

26

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Page - 1

The following crewmembers are entitled to judgment against Defendants

(2)

as follows:

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Page - 2

 Richard A. Montgomery
 \$35.400.00

 Reginald Karl Chase
 \$21,306.00

 Martha Varner
 \$16,487.00

 Matagimalie Fotu
 \$13,280.00

 Satini Samifua
 \$16,280.00

 Jonathon Stoner
 \$33,120.00

 Verneal M. Williams
 \$16,282.00

DATED this 26th day of October, 2006.

Total

MONICA J. BENTON

United States Magistrate Judge

\$152,155.00

| | | Case 2:05-c | v-01578-MJB | Document 24 | Filed 10/27/06 | Page 3 of 3 |
|----|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | 0.00 | ~~ | | | | |
| 25 | MOTIO | GRANTING I N FOR SUMM | PLAINTIFF'S IARY JUDGME | ENT | | |
| 26 | Page - 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |